IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 19TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 32983 of 2006(B)

PETITIONER:

SALILAJA C.T., AGED 43 YEARS,
W/O.SUKUMARAN M.V., PRESENT WORKING AS
OVERSEER GRADE I, PWD, BRIDGES SECTION,
MUVATTUPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.MOHAN JACOB GEORGE
SMT.P.V.PARVATHI
SMT.REENA THOMAS
SRI.L.RAM MOHAN
SRI.TITUS THOMAS

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM REPRESENTED BY
ITS SECRETARY.

2. CHAIRMAN, KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SHRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC, PSC.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.32983 OF 2006-B.

==========

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner applied for the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the Public Works Department as an in-service candidate pursuant to notification issued by P.S.C. She appeared for the written test. It is stated in the writ petition that 'due to confusion and bafflement' which arose in tearing Part A and Part B portions of the answer sheet, the Bar Code came in Part A portion of the answer sheet. The petitioner came to know that she would not be called for interview, though others who had appeared along with her, have been interviewed. She has got her own version about what transpired in the examination hall.

2. This writ petition has been filed seeking reliefs including a writ of mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider the representations filed by her before the respondents. Ext.P5 is pending with the Secretary of the Commission. Since the prayer made in this writ petition is limited to a direction to the respondent Commission to consider the representations pending with it, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition as follows:

Ext.P5 representation addressed to the 1st respondent shall be taken up for consideration and appropriate decision taken within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The order thus passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

The petitioner will produce a copy of the judgment before the 1st respondent for information and compliance.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE.

kvs/-